Application No. Applicant(s) 09/980.494 JOHANSSON, TOR Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** Drew E. Becker 1761 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Drew E. Becker. (2) Philip DuBois. Date of Interview: 26 July 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: <u>all</u>. in general Identification of prior art discussed: Rameh & Frey etal Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 4ee below (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS Discussed the ODP rejection of 112 rejections. Discussed the art rejection in relation to Frey etal of Ramesh. Discussed the art beling of our after-final response "/ a possible doclaration or evidence. GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required